



The role of protocols and standards in hyperspectral data acquisition

José-Antonio Gómez-Sánchez
Remote Sensing Laboratory
INTA (Spain)



Exploratory Workshop, Davos, March 14-15 2007

HYRESSA - HYperspectral REMote Sensing in Europe specific Support Actions



Introduction



- *INTA will coordinate HYRESSA-AM8 task entitled “Review and refinement protocols” (main participants are VITO, DLR, WU, ISBE-ASCR)*
- *Objective: To review and report on existing protocols and refinement of them in compliance with standards in the field of airborne remote sensing techniques.*
- *The speaker is in charge of Data Acquisition Airborne Team at INTA and co-representative at HYRESSA programme.*

Exploratory Workshop, Davos, March 14-15 2007

HYRESSA - HYperspectral REMote Sensing in Europe specific Support Actions



Introduction



PROTOCOL

- *Concept of protocol:*
 - *“Set of guidelines for use in various circumstances” and in particular, in Natural Sciences, protocol is “a predefined procedural method in the design and implementation of experiments” (Wikipedia)*
 - *“A detailed plan of a scientific or medical experiment, treatment, or procedure” (Merriam Webster’s Dictionary)*
- *Protocols to be reviewed will be those dealing with hyperspectral data gathering operations, calibration, validation, distribution and archiving techniques.*

Exploratory Workshop, Davos, March 14-15 2007

HYRESSA - HYperspectral REMote Sensing in Europe specific Support Actions



- *Related outputs from SWOT¹ workshop (AM5):*
 - *Platform: an overview of available instrumentation and planned campaigns in Europe is demanded.*
 - *Lack of agreed standards, mainly in the calibration, processing and validation stages.*
 - *Demand of information of the methodology to calibrate and maintain the sensors.*
 - *Need of evaluating the product accuracy.*

GENERAL DEMAND OF STANDARDS & TRANSPARENCY

Conclusions of QUN² (AM6) and Exploratory meeting have emphasized this “perception”, in particular QUN results have already provided hints to the operators of which information is missing and demanded

¹ **SWOT** *Strength-Weakness-Opportunity-Threats*

² **QUN** *Questionnaire on Users Needs*



User requirements



Phases of a hyperspectral project to consider

- 1. Calibration*
- 2. Flight campaign planning*
- 3. Flight campaign*
- 4. Data processing*
- 5. Distribution*
- 6. Archiving*

Exploratory Workshop, Davos, March 14-15 2007

HYRESSA - HYperspectral REMote Sensing in Europe specific Support Actions



Methodology



The methodology proposed in the “Description of Work” HYRESSA doc seems reasonable, feasible and, hopefully, effective.

STEP 1: *SWOT + QUN, to get a picture of where we are and where the users want us to go.*

STEP 2.1: *Identification and reviewing of existing protocols (carried out by an experienced operator)*

STEP 2.2: *Identification of existing applicable standards (carried out by an experienced end-user)*

STEP 3: *Refinement of existing protocols (coordinated by a different operator but with the collaboration of other operators and users)*

It is due to start on 2007.07.01 (Month 18)

Exploratory Workshop, Davos, March 14-15 2007

HYRESSA - HYperspectral REMote Sensing in Europe specific Support Actions



Developments



What is happening around?

GEOSS,INSPIRE, driven by policy makers

EUFAR, driven by infrastructure owners/operators

ARGOS, AERONET,NEON infrastructures user-driven

ESA, MODIS, data providers initiatives

HyperTeach, HYPER-I-NET, education initiatives

*Can give some ideas but not fully applicable to the
European Airborne Hyperspectral Community needs*

HYRESSA has the opportunity to be the reference

Exploratory Workshop, Davos, March 14-15 2007

HYRESSA - HYperspectral REMote Sensing in Europe specific Support Actions



Benefits




- *It gives consistency to the data and allows comparison,*
- *It improves the efficiency of the use of the available facilities,*
- *It contributes to transparency and “good practices”,*
- *It gives the guidelines for future developments (APEX, ARES, ENMAP, etc),*
- *It makes easier and more attractive the access to new potential users,*
- *It (can) reinforces the position of the hyperspectral community in front of funding institutions,*
- *It makes feasible “Trans-National Access”-TNA (or at least, “Trans-Institution Access”) and the set up of a geographic distributed facility,*
- *Positive contribution to other “on the air” concepts: harmonization, integration, interoperability, etc...*

Exploratory Workshop, Davos, March 14-15 2007

HYRESSA - HYperspectral REMote Sensing in Europe specific Support Actions



- *Which activities/procedures should be “protocolized” and with what priority: Campaign management, sensor characterization, sensor calibration, data process, data quality, data validation, etc*
- *What information the users need and the operators can and want to provide*
- *Effort-Cost assessment*
- *Risk: loss of freedom (operator)-flexibility (all the actors)*
- *Will protocols reduce the costs of access to the infrastructures?*
- *Top-down approach,*
- *Opportunity factor of HYRESSA should be considered at this early stage,*
- *Etc..*



Exploratory Workshop, Davos, March 14-15 2007

HYRESSA - HYperspectral REMote Sensing in Europe specific Support Actions